

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/334,054	06/15/1999	DAVID W. JOHNSON	11381	4885
22827	7590 09/14/2004		EXAMINER	
DORITY & MANNING, P.A.			NOLAN, SANDRA M	
	CE BOX 1449 LE, SC 29602-1449		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
STEEDIN VIDI	,		1772	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/3	34,054	JOHNSON, DA	VID W.				
	Office Action Summary	Exan	niner	Art Unit					
		Sand	Ira M. Nolan	1772					
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears o	n the cover sheet w	with the correspondence	address				
Period fo		OD DEDLY IS S		MONTH(S) EDOM					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum so the to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. io) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause to	no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) MC the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered tii DNTHS from the mailing date of thi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mely. is communication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>24 May 200</u>	<u>04</u> .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19,21,22 and 31-37</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-11,14-19,22,31-35 and 37</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>12,13, 21 and 36</u> is/are rejected.								
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examine	er. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form	PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
=	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have	e been received in	Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority do	cuments have bee	n received in this Nation	nal Stage				
	application from the Internation								
* (See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the	certified copies no	ot received.					
Attachmer	• •								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:									

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/334,054

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claims

- 1. Claims 1-19, 21-22 and 31-37 are pending.
- 2. Claims 20 and 23-30 have been cancelled.

Rejections Withdrawn

- 3. The 35 USC 103 rejection of claims 1-8, 11-22, 31-33 and 35-37 as unpatentable over JP 89023565B (abstract) in view of Van Thillo et al (US 5,008178), as expressed in section 4 of the 02 March 2004 office action, is withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments in the response dated 24 May 2004.
- 4. The 35 USC 103 rejection of claim 10 as unpatentable over JP 89023565B, Van Thillo and Nash (US 5,620,773) is withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments in the 24 May 2004 response.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-11, 14-19, 22, 31-35 and 37 are allowed.
- 6. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the use of partially-embedded colloidal silica powder on the outer surfaces of rubber gloves as friction modifiers for that surface.

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/334,054

Art Unit: 1772

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 12, 13, 21 and 36 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as unpatentable over Misch et al (US 3,637,416) in view of JP 0216427A (abstract).

Misch teaches the treatment of the surfaces of synthetic elastomeric articles with silicone coatings and silica particles (col. 4, lines 68-75) in order to render the surfaces conductive (col. 4, lines 9-11).

JP 02164527A teaches a slip-proof coating containing colloidal silica particles. See the title and the first sentence of the abstract.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to employ the colloidal silica of the Japanese abstract in a coating for the elastomeric articles of Misch in order to produce articles whose surfaces are both conductive and slip-proof.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be addressed to Sandra M. Nolan, at telephone number 571/272-1495. She can normally be reached Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time.

Application/Control Number: 09/334,054

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at 571/272-1498.

The fax number for patent application documents is 703/872-9306.

S. M. Nels.
S. M. Nolan

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

09334054(20040906)